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Epidemiology of Hepatitis Delta
Key messages

- An estimated **15-20 Million** individuals are infected with HDV worldwide!

- Hepatitis Delta is the **most severe** form of chronic viral hepatitis → No testing – no identification of HDV infection!

- The **clinical manifestations** of hepatitis delta **differs** between regions and **has changed** during the last 3 decades

- Hepatitis Delta is a **dynamic disease**:  
  - Both HBV and HDV contribute to disease progression

- **Migrant populations** and **special risks** groups show particular high HDV prevalence

- The **HDV genotype** matters

After: H Wedemeyer
HDV epidemiology

- HDV = delta-virus, delta-agent
- Always found in association with HBV-infection
- Worldwide infection ≈15-20 million
- The most common routes of transmission
  - intravenous transmission (IDU)
  - percutaneous transmission (tattoo, piercing)
  - sexually transmission
  - intrafamilial transmission
- Endemic regions
  - Mongolia
  - Mediterranean countries (most often in children and young people)
  - Far East (infectiousness varies from 90% among HBsAg-carriers living in the Pacific Islands, up to 5% HBsAg-carriers in Japan)
  - Amazonia
Different HDV genotypes in different regions!
Prevalence of Hepatitis Delta in the Asia-Pacific Region

Hughes et al. The Lancet 2011; Abbas et al., World J Gastroenterol 2012
# Prevalence of Hepatitis Delta in the Asia-Pacific Region

Data presented at the EASL Delta Conference 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Poster No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>Raja W.A. et al.</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>Asim M.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>0.4% (OLT)</td>
<td>Jung Y. J. et al.</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>Mumtaz K. et al.</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>Zaki M. et al.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>Bhatti T.A. et al.</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>Memon M. S. et al.</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>Azinmehr L. et al.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2.5% (Izmir)</td>
<td>Köse S. et al</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.4% (Izmir)</td>
<td>Akpinar Z. et al</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8% (SE)</td>
<td>Turhanoglu M. et al.</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9% (Ddiyarbakir)</td>
<td>Gulsun S. et al.</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prevalence of Hepatitis Delta in Africa

Genotypes 1, 5-8

Le Gal et al., Emerg Infect Dis 2006
Anti-HDV Prevalence among HBsAg-positive patients in Europe
(E.K. Manesis, EASL Special Conference 2010)
Decline of anti-HDV prevalence in Eastern Europe in the 1990ies

Gaeta, Rizzetto et al., Hepatology 2000
## Older Data:
### HDV Epidemiology in the USA

Highly variable: <1% to 30% among chronic HBV carriers!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nath et al. Am J Epidemiol 1985:</td>
<td>Blood Donors: 1.4% Southeast to 12% Pacific region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizzetto et al. JID 1982; Troisi et al. Blood 1993:</td>
<td>Haemophiliacs: 19%; Female Prostitutes 21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES IV (CDC: 2003-2004)</td>
<td>1/28 HBsAg+ individuals was anti-HDV+ (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From 1999 to 2012, data on 71,916 individuals were obtained, with 52,209 (72.6%) receiving HDV testing. The overall prevalence of HDV in the United States was 0.02% (10/52209), with a mean age of 52.1 ± 14.0 years and 60% males. Table 1 summarizes our results.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HDV-Negative, % (n = 52,199)</th>
<th>HDV-Positive, % (n = 10)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years (SD)</td>
<td>36.6 (23.01)</td>
<td>52.1 (14.01)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other race, including multiracial</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV antibody</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection drug use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney test for continuous variables.

Abbreviations: HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; SD, standard deviation.
HDV infections in the US population

- Recent indications that HDV prevalence is increasing
- HDV prevalence in US was not assessed widely:
  - Baltimore (n=194/258): prevalence declined from 15% to 11% in IVD between 1988-1989 and 2005-2006
  - US Veterans (n=2175 HBsAg + and tested for HDV): 3.4% positive
  - NHANES 1999-2012 weighted data: 0.02% prevalence
  - Need for improved surveillance in the US
HDV Epidemiology in the USA: Northern California

1296 HBsAg positive patients (incomplete data) → 82 (6.3%) anti-HDV positive

499 HBsAg positive patients (complete data) → 42 (8.4%) anti-HDV positive

- 71% male
- 54% non-hispanic Caucasians
- 28% asian-pac. immigrants
- 34% anti-HCV positive (with 67% cirrhosis)
3.5% of HBsAg+ who were tested were anti-HDV positive

Predictors of being HDV tested included:
- male gender (4.5 vs. 1.3%, p <0.001)
- Asian ethnicity (8.5 vs. ≤5% any other*, p <0.001)
- HBcIgM+ status (29 vs. 9.0% of HBcIgM-, p<0.001)
- HBeAg+ (21.3 vs. 13.0% HBeAg-, p<0.001)
- HCVAb+ (5.3 vs. 4.3% HCVAb-, p<0.001)
- HIV+ (9.4 vs. 4.0% HIV- p<0.001)
- ALT (peak ± 180d, 383 vs. 95u/l, p<0.001)
- HBV DNA > 2000 IU/ml (21.8 vs. 14.7%*, p< 0.001)

Kushner AASLD 2015 (see notes)
74 HDV+ individuals
- 43 (58%) were HCVAb+
- 7 (9.5%) HIV-coinfected.

There was no difference in age, ethnicity, or comorbidity in HDV+ and HDV-subjects
- 69% of HDV+ were HBeAg-, 74% HBeAb+, and 23/26 (88%) had HBV DNA titers <2000 IU/ml.
## HDV Epidemiology in the USA

### Prevalence, Correlates, and Viral Dynamics of Hepatitis Delta among Injection Drug Users


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of patients</td>
<td>Percentage of patients (Wald 95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV serology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg positive</td>
<td>14/48</td>
<td>29 (16–42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg positive, adjusted</td>
<td>55 (10–71)</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg negative</td>
<td>16/146</td>
<td>11 (6–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBCAb and sAb positive</td>
<td>6/57</td>
<td>11 (3–19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBcAb positive only</td>
<td>10/89</td>
<td>11 (4–18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All HBV categories</td>
<td>30/194</td>
<td>15 (10–21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kurcinka et al., JID 2010
Participation in the 1st International Quality Control for HDV RNA Quantitation (2013)

Figure 2

Intra Laboratory comparison

Hayden HDIN AASLD 2016
Newly Diagnosed HDV Patients in the US (Unique Patients)

Chronic HBV Pts and % Pts with HDV

Newly Diagnosed Chronic HBV Patients (Unique)

% of Chronic HBV with HDV

HDV Tests Ordered and % Chronic HBV Patients Tested for HDV

Top 20 US Geographies for HDV Patients

Comparison of HDV Patient Footprint 2008 vs 2016 and Top 20 Geographies for Each Year

### 2008

1. Brooklyn, NY
2. New York, NY
3. Yonkers, NY
4. Corona, NY
5. Scarsdale, NY
6. Bronx, NY
7. Philadelphia, PA
8. Jamaica, NY
9. San Francisco, CA
10. Passaic, NJ
11. La Puente, CA
12. St. Petersburg, FL
13. Staten Island, NY
14. Pittsburg, CA
15. Detroit, MI
16. Chicago, IL
17. Franklin, MA
18. Las Vegas, NV
19. Newburgh, NY
20. Huntington ST, NY

### 2016

1. Chicago, IL
2. Berwyn, IL
3. Brooklyn, NY
4. Corona, NY
5. Waukegan, IL
6. New York, NY
7. Bronx, NY
8. Jamaica, NY
9. Lombard, NY
10. Aurora, IL
11. Huntington ST, NY
12. Philadelphia, PA
13. Houston, TX
14. Passaic, NJ
15. Staten Island, NY
16. Cicero, IL
17. Parkville, MD
18. Miami, FL
19. Hialeah, FL
20. Las Vegas, NV

HDV in a “low prevalence” country

- Vietnam

![Bar chart showing prevalence of HDV genomes in HBsAg-positive Vietnamese patients.](chart.png)

**Figure 2.** Prevalence of HDV genomes in the HBsAg-positive Vietnamese patients. The prevalence of HDV infection in AHB group was significantly higher in comparison to the CHB, LC and HCC groups (OR =0.19 (CI95 [0.23-0.66]), 0.20 (CI95 [0.08-0.54]), 0.25 (CI95 [0.22-0.71]), respectively; two tailed Fisher’s exact test, p<0.01). Overall, the HDV-prevalence of all patient groups was 15.4% (CI95 [11.1-19.8]) (Total).

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078094.g002
HDV co- and superinfection

- **Co-infection:**
  - Clinically indistinguishable from acute HBV
  - Usually acute and self-limited (95%), HDV and HBV clearance
  - High frequency of acute liver failure in IDUs

- **Severe hepatitis in previously diagnosed HBsAg-carrier or exacerbation of a known chronic HBV**
- **HDV becomes chronic almost in 90%**
Hepatitis delta: evolution of clinical presentation

- **Young patients**
  - Locally acquired
  - Special risk groups (IVDU)

- **Older patients**
  - Immigrant populations
  - Special risk groups

Diagram shows the percentage of HBsAg+ patients over time from 1980 to 2010, with a decrease in acute hepatitis delta and an increase in chronic hepatitis delta. The diagram is labeled as "After Wedemeyer."
Hepatitis delta: evolution of clinical presentation

- young patients
  - locally acquired
  - special risk groups (IVDU)
- older patients
  - Immigrant populations
  - special risk groups

Severe
Acute + Chronic Disease

Mild chronic Disease

Severe chronic Disease

% of HBsAg+ patients

ACUTE HEPATITIS DELTA

CHRONIC HEPATITIS DELTA

1980 1990 2000 2010

After Wedemeyer
HDV: Virology

➢ HDV Transmission requires HBsAg!

Calle Serrano, Manns & Wedemeyer, Seminars in Liver Disease 2012
HDV: Modes of Transmissions

- HDV Transmission requires HBsAg!
- Intrafamilial transmission
  - *vertical & sexual transmission, infection during early childhood*
  - *Folk remedies, scarification, percutaneous exposure*
- Medical treatment
  - *blood transfusion, unsterile syringes, etc.*
- Special risk groups
  - *IV drug user, dialysis, HIV+, hemophiliacs.*

- HBV vaccination prevents from HDV infection!
HBV DNA is often suppressed by HDV, even in HBeAg-positive hepatitis

*Heidrich et al., Liver International 2012*
Fig. 1. Schematic representation of HBV DNA and HDV RNA patterns over time observed in the study by Schaper et al. [19].
Liver disease progression

- 28-year prospective study in Italy: 25% with liver cirrhosis developed HCC, 59% - liver failure
- Study in Taiwan: 15% survival within 15 yrs

The main cause of death in patients with CHD is the decompensation of progressive liver disease (38%) instead of hepatocellular carcinoma

- More rapid progression of HDV compared to HBV
  - Patients with CHD are as many as 10.5 years younger than those with CHB
  - Patients with LCD are as many as 8.7 years younger than those with LCB
- More frequent complications of LCD
  - Portal hypertension
  - HE
- More frequent / severe thrombocytopenia, more higher APRI


Anti-HDV IgM-status correlates with activity and outcomes of Hep D

Outcomes of Hep D depends on HDV genotype

- G1 HDV in acute hepatitis
  - A risk of fulminant failure
- G1 HDV in chronic hepatitis
  - Rapid progression to cirrhosis
  - Risk of HCC is as many as 3 times higher
  - Mortality is as many as 2 times higher


- Serum anti-HDV IgM is a robust marker to determine disease activity in Hep D which has prognostic implications

HDV RNA viral load did not correlate with activity

Table 4. Characteristics of hepatitis delta patients (n = 73) according to the histological activity index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HAI 0–7 (n = 38)</th>
<th>HAI 8–18 (n = 35)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>39 ± 11.8</td>
<td>37 ± 10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)/female (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (10^3/μL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP (U/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin (mg/dL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HBV DNA

HBV DNA (copies/ml)

HDV RNA (copies/ml)

HBeAg expression ≥ 2+ (%)

HBeAg expression (%)

Data are expressed as mean ± SD or median (range) as appropriate. Abbreviations are same as in Tables 1 and 2. NS, non significant.

Outcome of CHD does not depend on HBeAg-status

Heidrich et al., Liver International 2012
1605 patients in the database

Need cholinesterase for HDIN BEA fibrosis score

Test for liver function or hepatic reserves, synthesized in hepatocytes, 11 variants, 20 individual variations, diff stage of F0-F3 from F4, correlates with CTP, MELD correlation, (Pakistan AASLD 2016)

63% male

Median age 36

85% RNA +

25% HBeAg(+)

70% plt below 100 000 in 60%

INR high in 70%

75 % received INF therapy

25% Nuc only

Warnke AASLD 2016
CDC 11 2016

- Aby Diasorin increasing prevalence via NHANEs
- PCR: LOQ is 500 copies
- 1 step assay taqMan primers in the region of the large HDV Ag
- 75 copies LOD
- Range: 100 and 100 M of quant
- 49 samples since Oct 2014
  - 73% were male
  - Median age 39 10-70 range
  - Ethnicity: wide range
  - States: in US: PA 33 cases dominated
  - Genotypes at CDC G 1 and 5 (15 cases)
# Meta-analysis:
## antiviral treatment for chronic Hep D

- **Sourses:** Medline, Scopus, Cochrane Library, ISI Web of Knowledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment Description</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>IFNa / absence of antiviral Tx</td>
<td>3 RCT; n = 137</td>
<td>IFNa was better for biochemical EOT [OR, 0.11 (95% CI, 0.04–0.2)] and virological EOT [OR, 0.08 (95% CI, 0.03–0.2)], but not for EOFUP VR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>Low / high doses of IFNa</td>
<td>2 RCT; n = 60</td>
<td>High dose IFNa was better for biochemical EOT [OR, 0.24 (95% CI,0.08–0.73)] and virological EOT [OR, 0.27 (95% CI, 0.1–0.74)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>IFNa ± LAM / LAM</td>
<td>2 RCT; n = 48</td>
<td>No benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>PEG-IFNa) / other antivirals</td>
<td>2 RCT; n = 157</td>
<td>PEG-IFNa was better for virological EOT [OR, 0.419 (95% CI, 0.18–0.974)], EOFUP VR [OR, 0.404 (95% CI, 0.189–0.866)] and improvement in necroinflammatory activity [OR, 0.308 (95% CI, 0.129–0.732)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Hep D Tx

Endpoints
- Eradication/suppression of HDV replication
- Eradication (Functional cure) of HBV with HBsAg clearance /seroconversion
- Normalization of biochemical tests and liver histology improvement

Tx
- PEG-IFN 48 wks (may require > 1 year due to some advantages)
- AN therapy may be considered in patients with active HBV replication with a persistent or fluctuating HBV DNA > 2,000 IU / ml
- VR can be evaluated after 3-6 months of therapy by measuring the level of HDV RNA

Predictors of response
- Non 1 genotype
- Initial viral load < 10^6 copies/ml
- PCR HDV RNA (--ve) at month 6 of Tx
- Lower Initial HBsAg titer

HDV Tx

- Trials with PEG-IFNa showed HDV RNA negativity rates of 25-30% 24 weeks after therapy
- Therapy up to 5 years can result in 35% long-term SVR
- Retrospective-prospective follow-up of 77 patients in the HIDIT-1 trial with a median time of follow-up of 4.5 (0.5-5.5) years
  - Out of 16 patients tested HDV RNA-negative 6 months after PEG-IFNa treatment, 9 individuals tested HDV RNA-positive in the long-term follow-up study

Kazakhstan
- 11 cases were analyzed
  - Tx
    - Peg-IFNα 2a, 180 µg/wk
    - 48 wks (in 1 case – 36 wks)
  - Efficacy
    - EOT VR – in 4 out of 11 pts (36,4%)
    - VR at 6 months follow up – in 3 pts (27,3%)
    - VR after 6 months follow up – in 2 pts (18,0%)


Late HDV RNA relapses may occur after PEG-IFNa therapy of hepatitis delta and thus the term sustained virological response should be avoided in HDV infection
## The Hep-Net-International Delta-Hepatitis Intervention Trial 2: HIDIT-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>Peg-IFN α2a + TDF</th>
<th>Peg-IFN α2a + Placebo</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not detected HDV RNA</td>
<td>At the end of 96 weeks of treatment</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of those who completed treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-week post-treatment sustained response</td>
<td></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse</td>
<td></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓HBsAg &gt;0.5 log IU/mL</td>
<td>At week 96</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At week 120</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lower HDV RNA and lower HBsAg levels at baseline were associated with HDV sustained virological response

- People with cirrhosis had a higher HDV virological response rate compared with non-cirrhotics (51% vs 25%, respectively)
- Prolonged pegylated interferon plus tenofovir was difficult to tolerate and did not have any benefit
- All participants had at least 1 adverse event, and one-third had serious adverse events

H. Wedemeyer, C. Yurdaydin, S. Ernst, et al. EASL, 2014
The drug, Ezetimibe, which is currently known to lower cholesterol, is being used in a trial in Pakistan for patients with chronic HDV (phase II):
LT in HDV-infection

- The only available option for pts with FHF, end-stage liver disease and HDV-associated HCC who are not candidates for resection
- LT for HDV: The best outcomes amongst all other viral hepatitis (including HBV monoinfection)
  - Compared to HBV monoinfection, in HDV infection the HBV graft infection risk is lower
  - With the prophylactic HBIg and NAs, the incidence of HBV/HDV graft infection is 0-5%
  - After LT the long term prophylaxis of HBV graft infection is recommended
  - There is no any effective treatment of graft HDV infection

Efficacy of prolonged tenofovir therapy on hepatitis delta in HIV-infected patients

After a median tenofovir exposure of 58 (34–93) months, all patients had undetectable HBV-DNA and 10 (53%) HDV-RNA less than 10 copies/ml. In the last group, the median time to reach undetectable HDV-RNA was 54 (33–72) months. In the remaining nine HDV viremic patients at the end of follow-up, the median HDV-RNA had dropped to 2.42 (1.27–3.09) log copies/ml.

During tenofovir therapy, there was an overall reduction in liver stiffness from a median of 21.9 to 13.8 KPa ($P = 0.34$). More than 30% reduction in liver stiffness during the study period occurred in six out of 10 (60%) patients who achieved undetectable HDV-RNA. Regression of cirrhosis was recognized in five patients, all of whom had achieved undetectable HDV-RNA.

**Conclusion:** Long-term exposure to tenofovir significantly reduced serum HDV-RNA apart from completely suppressing HBV-DNA in HIV-infected patients with hepatitis delta. This virological benefit is accompanied by significant improvements in liver fibrosis.
HDV Assays in the US

- ARUP has launched a qHDV RNA test that is available at no cost to registered participants
- Launch of commercial assay to the general medical community occurred simultaneously
HDV Awareness and Testing Program Roles

- Program sponsor
- Patient / HCP education
- Centralized HDV testing
- Providers
- Test HBV patients
Hepatitis Delta Testing
ARUP Laboratories

Hepatitis Delta Total Antibody (IgM and IgG)*
• Qualitative enzyme immunoassay
• Detects but does not differentiate IgM and IgG
• Results reported as ‘negative’, ‘positive’, or equivocal
• Performance characteristics are similar to other commercially available HDV antibody tests

HDV Viral Load by PCR*
• Real time RT-PCR that quantifies HDV RNA
• Internal control monitors nucleic acid extraction and detects
  PCR inhibitors
• Calibrated to WHO standard
• Dynamic quantitative range of 120 - 5,800,000 IU/mL
• Lower limit of detection = 62 IU/mL

*This test was developed and its performance characteristics determined by ARUP Laboratories. The U. S. Food and Drug Administration has not approved or cleared this test.
Perspectives of the Hep D therapy

- Other IFNs
  - IFN λ
  - (Albuferon)
- Combination therapy
  - IFN with NA, other agents
- Specific agents
  - Myrcludex B (inhibitor of HBV and HDV penetration)*
  - Prenylation inhibitors
- Improvement of LT medical support

- Lonafarnib trial
  - Oral prenylation inhibitor
  - 14 patients were enrolled, of whom eight were assigned to group 1 and six were assigned to group 2 (placebo control)
  - Lonafarnib effectiveness in blocking HDV production was greater in group 2 than in group 1 (0.952 [SE 0.06] vs 0.739 [0.05], p<0.001), and the HDV half-life was 1.62 days (0.07)
  - There was no evidence of virological resistance
  - Adverse events were mainly mild to moderate; no treatment discontinuations occurred in any treatment groups

Conclusions

- HDV-infection plays an important role in the etiology of liver diseases in various parts of the world
- All HBsAg-positive patients should be tested for anti-HDV using serology and confirmation with HDV RNA by quant PCR
- Clinical outcomes of HDV-infection depend on time interval of HBV- and HDV-infections (co- or superinfection), viral and host factors
- Outcome of CHD superinfection is characterized by rapid progression to cirrhosis, end stage liver disease and HCC
- There is currently no approved therapy for HDV. PEG IFNa has been used to treat HDV with modest antiviral activity of 15-25% SVR after 1 year of treatment.
  - Although emerging data in Turkey may show up to a 35-40% MVR rate with treatment up to 5 years
- Prevention HDV = vaccination against HBV
- LT with CHD is characterized by better outcomes compare to other VH (including HBV monoinfection)
- SVR after 48-week PEG IFNa Tx is <25 %
- Most often HDV dominates over HBV, but in HBV DNA-positive cases can be used HBV-polymerase inhibitors
- Combination of PEG IFNa and NAs does not improve Tx results
- Late HDV RNA relapses may occur after PEG-IFNa therapy of hepatitis delta and thus the term sustained virological response (new term MVR: Maintained Virologic Response) should be avoided in HDV infection
- Treatment up to 5 years would be consider optimal with on treatment monitoring of HDV RNA q until we have new oral/injectable therapies that can clear HBsAg or HDV RNA cure
Q & A

Please submit questions for Dr. Gish in the chat box!
Thank You!

Please complete the post-webinar survey!

Connect with us!
Hepatitis Delta Connect Website:
www.hepdconnect.org

Twitter, Facebook, Instagram @hepdconnect

Still have Questions?
Email us at connect@hepdconnect.org